Are ethnic minorities synonymous for genetic isolates? Comparing Walser and Romance populations in the Upper Lys Valley (Western Alps)
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Summary - Cultural differences between neighbouring populations are often said to give rise to reproductive barriers. For ethnic minorities, these barriers can easily result in genetic isolation. In this study, we analyse the surname structure of the Walser of the upper Lys Valley, a German-speaking ethnic minority in the Italian Western Alps, to better understand the relationships between linguistic and genetic isolation. Analyses were based on 1713 marriages registered from 1838 to 1938 in four villages of the valley: three Walser communities (Issime, Gressoney-Saint-Jean, Gressoney-La-Trinité) and the Romance community of Gaby. The results show that endogamy and inbreeding are lower than in other Italian linguistic minorities, with the exception of Gaby, whose values rank among the highest ever found in Italy. Compared to the Walser communities, Gaby behaves as an outgroup and has an almost exclusively autochthonous surname set. The latter aspect is also true, but to a lesser extent, for the Walser villages, in particular for Issime on the one hand and Gressoney-Saint-Jean and Gressoney-La-Trinité on the other. These findings strongly suggest that the Walser communities’ ethnic minority status is not associated with genetic isolation, whereas genetic isolation was found in the linguistically non-isolated Gaby. Finally, our results are consistent with two independent late medieval migration events at the origin of these Walser settlements.
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Introduction

One of the most widely investigated topics in human genetics is the study of geographically and/or culturally marginal human populations (currently or in the recent past). These characteristics, generally combined with small population size, are summarised by the term ‘isolate’. Albeit with some debate (Abbott, 2000; Eaves et al., 2000; Jorde et al., 2000; Shifman et al., 2003), medical genetics research considers isolates to be “model” populations for the identification of genes for Mendelian diseases and for the mapping of complex (non-Mendelian) traits (Peltonen et al., 2000; Arcos-Burgos & Muenke, 2002; Varilo & Peltonen, 2004). There is also some interest in studying isolates from an “archaeogenetic” point of view (Shlush et al., 2008; Boattini et al., 2010a). Indeed, isolates are very often the place to find traces of ancient migration/peopling events; it is more difficult to find such traces in non-isolated communities, as their involvement in further historical-demographic events is more probable.

In addition to geography, cultural features, particularly language, represent the most obvious cause of isolation and are often invoked as a source of genetic isolation in ethnic-linguistic minorities. Strong clues in that direction were found for the Aromuns in the Balkans (Bosch et al., 2006), while the Gagauzes, a Turkish-speaking population from Eastern Europe, are a controversial case: according to Nasidze et al. (2007), they show clear signs of admixture with their Indo-European-speaking neighbours, but
these findings were partly contradicted by Varzari et al. (2009), who detected Balkan ancestral traces in that population.

Italy is an ideal place to explore relationships between genetics and languages, as it hosts twelve officially acknowledged ethnic-linguistic minorities. These minorities have been the subject of a broad research program on the biodemographic and genetic structure of Italian isolates (Destro-Bisol et al., 2008). The Arbereshe, an Albanian-speaking population in southern Italy, is one of the best studied of these isolates: biodemographic and Y-chromosome data showed a close correspondence between cultural and genetic barriers (Fiorini et al., 2007; Boattini et al., 2011). Similar results were obtained for the German-speaking Mocheni (Western Alps, Italy) (Pettener et al., 1994) and for Carloforte, a Ligurian island in southern Sardinia (Vona et al., 1996). In contrast, a mtDNA study of the Croat minorities in Molise (southern Italy) showed that this population presents features lying between Croatians and Italians, concluding that “ethnic consciousness has not created reproductive barriers” (Babalini et al., 2005).

The present study aims to provide further knowledge of Italian isolates within the framework described by Destro-Bisol et al. (2008) and, in particular, to investigate the correspondence between ethnic minority status and genetic isolation. As the case-study, we used the Walser people of the upper Lys Valley, a previously unexplored German-speaking community in Aosta Valley, Italian north-western Alps.

Materials and Methods

The population

The Walser are a German-speaking people living in the Alps of Switzerland, Italy, Liechtenstein and Austria. The Walser language is a group of dialects included in the Highest Alemannic, a branch of Germanic which, besides the Walser people, is mainly spoken in Switzerland. The Walser are believed to have their origins in south-western Germany, from ancient Alemannic tribes. During the 9th century, they settled in the upper part of the Wallis canton (Oberwallis, south-western Switzerland), from which their name derives. The 13th century was characterised by various migrations of German-speaking people towards northern Italy (e.g. Walser, Mocheni, Cimbri, Saurans). The Walser took part in those movements and settled in the Aosta Valley and Piedmont, where they gave rise to linguistic minorities which were isolated within the Romance regions (Zinsli, 1968; Sibilla, 1980).

The Walser of the upper Lys Valley (Aosta Valley), one of the most important and best conserved German-speaking minorities in Italy, currently live in three communities: Issime, Gressoney-Saint-Jean (hereafter GSJ) and Gressoney-La-Trinité (hereafter GLT). As is typical for Walser settlements, they consist mainly of scattered buildings, usually coinciding with farms; other shared characteristics are the high altitude and geographic isolation. Interestingly, the Lys Valley Walser settlements partly superseded autochthonous Romance-speaking populations, a remnant of which is the Franco-Provençal ‘enclave’ of Gaby (Fig. 1). Furthermore, the Walser dialect spoken in the Lys Valley is not homogeneous, with Northern (GSJ and GLT) and Southern (Issime) variants (Cerutti, 1977). On the whole, these peculiar features make the upper Lys Valley an interesting case-study for the mechanisms of isolation in ethnic-linguistic minorities.

The data

This research is based on 1713 civil matrimonial records from the three Walser communities of Issime (409), GSJ (588) and GLT (148), as well as the Romance community of Gaby (546). The data cover all upper Lys Valley marriages from 1838 to 1938 (due to privacy policies, more recent data are not available). To explore temporal variations in the genetic structure of the valley, we grouped the data into four 25-year intervals (1838-1863, 1864-1888, 1889-1913, 1914-1938). GLT is represented by a particularly low number of marriages. Nevertheless, we
decided to maintain our research scheme also for this village. As a consequence, some caution is needed when considering the GLT results, in particular those which refer to 25-year intervals.

**Methods**

Endogamy rates were calculated in each community according to the birthplace of the spouses, with reference to a) the single communities (Village Endogamy), b) the whole Lys Valley, i.e. the four studied communities plus Fontainemore, Lillianes and Perloz, Romance villages from the lower part of the valley (Valley Endogamy).

Inbreeding coefficients (Ft, Fr, and Fn) were estimated according to the isonymy method (Crow & Mange, 1965; Crow, 1980); additionally, bootstrapped confidence intervals for Ft were calculated by means of 1000 random resamples of the data. Inbreeding measures were also assessed using the Repeated Pairs (RP) method (Lasker & Kaplan, 1985). The random expectation of repetition (RPr) was calculated with the equation proposed by Chakraborty (1985, 1986).

A distance matrix among the four communities was calculated according to Relethford’s (1988) procedure, and it was used to perform a cluster analysis using Ward’s algorithm. P-values were calculated via multiscale bootstrap resampling (1000 replications), a procedure that allows a better approximation to unbiased p-values when sample sizes are small (Shimodaira, 2004).

An analysis of the geographic distribution of surnames was performed using Self-Organizing Maps (SOMs). A full description of the method is provided by Manni *et al.* (2005), who first applied it in the case-study of the Netherlands, while its effectiveness even in microgeographic contexts was demonstrated by Boattini *et al.* (2010) and Rodriguez Diaz & Blanco Villegas (2010). Briefly, the SOMs method is a clustering technique through neural networks based on “competitive learning”, an adaptive process in which the cells (“neurons”) simulating a neural network (“map”) gradually become sensitive to different input categories (Kohonen, 1982, 1984). The main idea is that different neurons

---

![Fig. 1 - Geographic location and altitude of the Upper Lys Valley and of its four communities: Issime (956 m.), Gaby (1047 m.), Gressoney-Saint-Jean (1385 m.) and Gressoney-La-Trinité (1635 m.).](image-url)
specialize to represent different types of input vectors. In doing so, they interact with the neighbouring neurons by means of a “neighbourhood function”. This procedure will result in the differentiation of the whole map-space: a) identical vectors will be mapped at the same neuron, b) slightly different ones at close neurons, while c) very different vectors will be mapped at far neurons. The shape (rectangular or squared) and size (number of cells) of the SOMs are defined by the user. The size of the map determines the maximum number of different clusters. Therefore, larger maps will classify items (surnames, in this study) more accurately than smaller ones. Nevertheless, it may happen that some cells remain empty, while others collect many items. Manni et al. (2005) suggested that the SOM method can be considered a “blind” automated approach to identify the geographic origin of surnames. Several trials were necessary before adopting a 3x3 lattice (9 possible clusters). In fact, this cell grid is characterised by the emergence of a few empty cells that are not found in smaller maps; in contrast, empty cells become more numerous in the maps with higher dimensions. In short, 9 different cells proved sufficient to represent the geographic variability of surnames in the upper Lys Valley. Since the method infers the geographic origin of surnames from their geographic distribution, we decided to exclude surnames whose frequency in the whole database was lower than or equal to five; given their rareness, these surnames do not allow the detection of reliable geographic gradients. As a result, SOMs were only applied to the 95 most frequent surnames of the upper Lys Valley.

Results

Endogamy

Both Village and Valley Endogamy (Tab. 1) show a clear descending trend in all the villages for the first three periods, while they tend to stabilize in the fourth period (1914-1938), with the exception of the very small village of GLT. For Village Endogamy, the most endogamous villages are Issime and Gaby, with very similar values (64.24% and 61.34%, respectively). For Valley Endogamy, the Romance village, Gaby, is distinct from the Walser villages not only for its particularly high value (86.30%) but also for its tendency to maintain high endogamy rates over time.

Inbreeding and Repeated Pairs (RP)

The highest total inbreeding values (F_t) are in Gaby, while the three Walser communities generally show lower values (the only exception being GLT in the second period) (Tab. 1). In agreement with random (F_r) and non-random (F_n) inbreeding components, bootstrapped Confidence Intervals (Tab. 1) show that F_t values are significantly higher than those expected (under random matings) (F_r) only in Gaby (1864-1888, 1889-1913, 1914-1938). In other words, Gaby shows a culturally driven tendency towards consanguineous marriages. The Repeated Pairs index (RP) confirms the isonymy results: the highest values (for the whole 1838-1938 period) are found in Gaby (0.0077), followed by the Walser communities: GLT (0.0053), Issime (0.0038), GSJ (0.0014). These values are similar to those expected under random mating (RP_s) for GSJ (0.0011) and Issime (0.0038), while they are higher in Gaby (0.0058) and GLT (0.0036).

Cluster analysis

Surname distances among the four upper Lys Valley communities in the four periods were used to perform a cluster analysis (Fig. 2). The results show that: a) the highest probability values (p > 95%) were found for the clusters grouping the same population for different periods, suggesting that the surname structure of the four...
communities generally remained unchanged in time; b) the three Walser communities form a highly supported cluster (p = 89%), while the Romance village Gaby behaves as an outgroup.

SOMs

The spatial organisation of the 3x3 SOM lattice is summarised in Table 2, while Figure 3 gives a synthetic overview of the geographic distribution of SOM surname clusters in the four villages. The distribution within the map of the 95 most frequent surnames in the upper Lys Valley is very heterogeneous. For instance, cells from column two – coordinates: (1, 2), (2, 2), (3, 2) – are nearly empty, while those from column one and three collect 94 of the 95 considered surnames. In particular, cells from column one group surnames that are mostly frequent in Gaby and Issime, while cells from column three group surnames from GSJ and GLT. More precisely, cells at the corners of the map collect the surnames with the highest frequencies in each of the four communities; hence their geographic origin can be attributed to the same populations: Gaby (1, 1), Issime (3, 1), GSJ (3, 3) and GLT (1, 3). Among them, Issime and GSJ show the highest rates of clusters of surnames that have their geographic origin in the same villages (70.37% and 72.99%.

### Tab. 1 - Population size, Village and Valley Endogamy rates, Inbreeding coefficients (Fr, Fn, Ft) with bootstrapped confidence intervals for the Upper Lys Valley populations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMMUNITY</th>
<th>PERIOD</th>
<th>POP. SIZE</th>
<th>ENDOGAMY (%)</th>
<th>INBREEDING* 10^{-2}</th>
<th>(CI 0.025, 0.0975)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VILLAGE</td>
<td>VALLEY</td>
<td>FR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gressoney-Saint-Jean (GSJ)</td>
<td>1838-1863</td>
<td>882</td>
<td>70.80</td>
<td>86.73</td>
<td>1.280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1864-1888</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>54.68</td>
<td>64.75</td>
<td>0.760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1889-1913</td>
<td>949</td>
<td>38.89</td>
<td>46.91</td>
<td>0.460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1914-1938</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>41.42</td>
<td>49.70</td>
<td>0.540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>51.45</td>
<td>62.02</td>
<td>0.760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gressoney-La-Trinité (GLT)</td>
<td>1838-1863</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>57.14</td>
<td>82.14</td>
<td>1.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1864-1888</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>40.54</td>
<td>70.27</td>
<td>1.810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1889-1913</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>16.67</td>
<td>47.92</td>
<td>1.410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1914-1938</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>24.24</td>
<td>0.410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30.10</td>
<td>56.14</td>
<td>1.280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issime</td>
<td>1838-1863</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>88.10</td>
<td>94.44</td>
<td>1.580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1864-1888</td>
<td>869</td>
<td>71.58</td>
<td>87.37</td>
<td>1.510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1889-1913</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>46.08</td>
<td>53.92</td>
<td>1.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1914-1938</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>51.22</td>
<td>57.32</td>
<td>1.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>64.24</td>
<td>73.26</td>
<td>1.360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaby</td>
<td>1838-1863</td>
<td>682</td>
<td>81.25</td>
<td>92.86</td>
<td>1.680</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1864-1888</td>
<td>751</td>
<td>61.07</td>
<td>92.37</td>
<td>2.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1889-1913</td>
<td>841</td>
<td>51.75</td>
<td>81.12</td>
<td>1.820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1914-1938</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>51.28</td>
<td>78.85</td>
<td>1.610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>61.34</td>
<td>86.30</td>
<td>1.820</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Italian ISTAT census data, years 1861, 1881, 1901, 1921
Ethnic minorities and genetic isolates

respectively). Cell (2, 3) shows the broad sharing of surnames between GSJ and GLT, as expected given that these communities are strongly linked both linguistically and geographically.

Intriguingly, cell (2, 1) does the same – albeit with lower percentages – for the Walser Issime and the Romance Gaby. This interesting case is related to the fact that the municipality of Gaby included some small Walser settlements and consequently surnames (see Discussion). It must be noted that the upper Lys Valley generally lacks surnames with wide geographic diffusion (with the possible exception of the one surname in cell (3, 2)).

Discussion

There have been few studies of Italian ethnic-linguistic minorities. The best known case is that of the Arbereshe of the Pollino area (southern Italy). Analyses based on surname and Y-chromosome data showed that Arbereshe villages “conserved much of their ancestral genetic diversity along with their founder surnames and cultural features” (Fiorini et al., 2007; Boattini et al., 2011). Cultural – and more specifically linguistic – barriers working also as genetic barriers have been identified for geographically and linguistically diverse populations such as the German-speaking Mocheni in the north-eastern Alps (Pettener et al., 1994) and Carloforte, a Ligurian island in southern Sardinia (Vona et al., 1996). However, a mtDNA study of Croat minorities of southern Italy showed significant admixture with their autochthonous neighbours (Babalini et al., 2005), while Ladins from South Tyrol (northern Italy) exhibited contrasting behaviour between Y-chromosome and mtDNA data, the former characterised by reduced diversity within the Ladins and high differentiation with the neighbouring populations, the latter showing higher diversity and lineages shared with the rest of the region (Pichler et al., 2006). Differential behaviour between patrilineal and matrilineal markers – with higher heterogeneity for mtDNA – has also been found for the Arbereshe of Pollino (Boattini, unpublished data). These observations suggest that we need to be particularly cautious
when comparing results from studies based on different markers and sampling strategies.

Unfortunately, endogamy and inbreeding values are available for only a few of these populations (Arbereshe, Mocheni). Therefore, we extend our comparisons to include other Italian populations which, although not ethnic minorities, are genetically isolated due to environmental factors. In recent years, several studies based on genealogical reconstructions have been conducted on isolated populations such as Talana (Sardinia) (Angius et al., 2001; Mocci et al., 2009), Val Borbera (Piedmont, northern Italy) (Traglia et al., 2009), Carlantino (Puglia, southern Italy) and Campora (Campania, southern Italy) (Colonna et al., 2007). All these populations showed village endogamy values between 80% (Campora) and 99.5% (Carlantino) for the 19th century (Tepper et al., 2008). Since these values are based on marriage records, they can be directly compared with those for the Walser. Endogamy in our Walser populations, ranging from 30.10% to 64.24%, is substantially lower than in those isolates. It is also lower than the values found in other linguistic isolates, and in particular in the geographically and linguistically similar Mocheni, in which endogamy ranges around 70% (Pettener et al., 1994). In Valsesia, another Walser community in Piedmont (Italy), values as high as 72.1% were found for the same historical period (1850-1899) (Malacarne et al., 2005). Finally, the studied population shows a clear descending trend that is absent, or barely recognizable, in the other populations for the same historical period, suggesting that the Walser may have experienced a largely anticipated breakdown of isolates.

Inbreeding estimates from isonymy, despite being quite accurate in describing historical trends, may overestimate actual inbreeding values within a population: many studies have reported isonymy values 2-3 times higher than those from genealogies (Gagnon & Toupane, 2002). For the above-mentioned Italian isolates, genealogy-based studies showed inbreeding values between 0.6*10^-2 (Campora) and 1.8*10^-2 (Talana). Isonymy-based studies of the Pollino Arbereshe revealed mean Ft values around 1.73*10^-2 for the period 1820-1884 (Fiorini et al., 2007), while in the majority of Mocheni communities they ranged from 1.393*10^-2 to 2.439*10^-2 (Pettener et al., 1994). Similar values were found for the Walser of Valsesia (1.458*10^-2, Malacarne et al., 2005). Higher Ft values were recorded in geographic isolates from the Italian Alps such as the upper Sole and Non Valleys (north-eastern Alps) – ranging around 2.199*10^-2 (1775-1923) (Martuzzi Veronesi et al., 1996; Gueresi et al., 2001) – and Acceglio (north-western Alps) – 4.32*10^-2 (1889-1908) (North et al., 1996) – as well as in the Val di Scalve (Italian central Pre-Alps), where Ft reached 3.806*10^-2 (1866-1935) (Boattini et al., unpublished data). Therefore, if we consider that isonymy overestimates inbreeding compared to genealogies, we can conclude...
that the Walser villages have modest kinship levels (Tab. 1). The RP index confirms these results, showing that the Walser communities (with the exception of GLT) are characterised by lower values than those found in ethnic minorities such as the Pollino Arbereshe (0.0056, 1870-1884; Fiorini et al., 2007) and geographic isolates such as Acceglio (0.0050, 1889-1908; North & Crawford, 1996) and Val di Scalve (0.0123, 1866-1935, Boattinni et al., unpublished data).

The Romance village of Gaby behaves differently from its Walser neighbours: it shows not only the highest Valley Endogamy rate (86.30%), but also the highest inbreeding (Ft) value (2.974*10^-2). In particular, Ft values in Gaby are in the same range as those found in the most isolated Arbereshe (Fiorini et al., 2007) and Mocheni villages (Pettener et al., 1994), as well as in some geographically isolated upper Sole Valley parishes (Martuzzi Veronesi et al., 1996). Furthermore, Gaby is the only upper Lys Valley village in which the observed Ft values are significantly higher than the expected ones (Tab. 1), meaning that marriages between relatives were deliberately favoured. Another – and not necessarily alternative – explanation is that the municipality of Gaby included some small Walser settlements, thus generating not only a linguistic boundary but also a barrier to random mating within the community itself. Unfortunately, our database does not include information on the mates’ places of residence within the municipalities, and thus we could not directly test this hypothesis. Nevertheless, further evidence in this direction comes from the results of cluster analysis and especially SOMs.

Cluster analysis (Fig. 2) highlights the outlier position of Gaby in the Walser domain; the same representation suggests the strong similarity – nearly identical – between GSJ and GLT, and, more in general, among the Walser villages. SOMs analysis adds further evidence to these observations. SOMs cells collect clusters of surnames that share the same geographic distribution and origin (Manni et al., 2005); thus spatial patterns of SOMs cells (Fig. 3) can be interpreted with a phylogeographic approach. For instance, the identity between GJS and GLT is underlined by the existence of a SOM cell (2, 3) that is shared between these villages, while a single, albeit common, surname, the sole member of cell (3, 2), represents the link between GJS, GLT and the southernmost Issime, the other Walser village. Nevertheless, and despite the low endogamy values, the four villages have maintained their surname identity over time. In fact, each of them has a ‘private’ surname cluster in the SOM map, e.g. Gaby (1, 1); Issime (3, 1); GSJ (3, 3); GLT (1, 3). In other words, these cells comprise the surnames that have their geographic origin in each of the considered villages. The spatial organization of the SOMs (Tab. 2) reflects the geography of the valley and, partly, its dialectal differentiation: surnames from the southern Issime and Gaby are located in the first column, while those from the northern GSJ and GLT are in the third column, and they are separated by an almost empty column (with the exception of the above-mentioned (3, 2)). One of the most interesting features of the SOMs is cell (2, 1): a cluster of surnames whose distribution overlaps the Walser Issime and the Romance Gaby. This is in apparent contradiction with the outlier status of Gaby suggested by cluster analysis. However, we must observe that cluster analysis uses populations/periods as units of analysis (by means of a distance matrix), while SOMs apply directly to surname frequencies. Thus, SOMs may reveal population structures that do not coincide with units of analysis. This is exactly the case of cell (2, 1), whose frequency peak is observed in Gaby (40.34%), although it is also very common in Issime (23.88%). In fact, the municipality of Gaby included some small Walser settlements (the most important was called Niel), and these settlements shared surnames with the neighbouring Issime. In contrast, virtually no ‘private’ Gaby surname (1, 1) is present in the Walser villages. Therefore, Gaby appears to be composed of two different subpopulations: a numerically dominant Romance community and a minor Walser one, each with different surname pools. This subdivision helps to explain the higher-than-expected Ft values observed in Gaby (Wahlund effect).
These results allow us to draw some conclusions about the relationships between linguistic and genetic isolation in the Walser of the upper Lys Valley. This population has conserved its cultural features and languages (Toitschu in Issime, Titsch in GSJ and GLT), as acknowledged by regional (law 47/1998) and national (law 482/1999) regulations. Thus, from a linguistic point of view, the Walser represent a clear discontinuity within the surrounding Franco-Provençal continuum. Nevertheless, their endogamy and inbreeding values are lower than those observed in other linguistic isolates such as the Arbereshe and Mocheni. As a consequence, although the last two populations can be considered as both linguistic and genetic isolates, this does not seem to be true for the Walser given they are a linguistic isolate but not a genetic isolate. Paradoxically, the Romance Gaby is the only upper Lys Valley population to show clear marks of genetic isolation. In other words, the linguistic barrier between Germans and Romances worked ‘against’ the autochthonous population.

These findings agree with information on Walser history and traditional society. Along with more usual activities such as cattle breeding, the Walser developed a strong talent for commercial trading. Walser merchants, especially those from the Gressoney area, were so well known on both sides of the Alps that the term “Grischeneyer” (i.e. “from Gressoney”) became synonymous of merchant. Many of them settled in the main cities of southern Germany and Switzerland, while still maintaining contacts with their homeland. Thus, the Walser of the upper Lys Valley were characterised by high population mobility, which fits well with the low endogamy and inbreeding values.

Finally, these data help shed light on the still obscure history of the Walser settlement of the valley. The populations of Issime and GSJ-GLT, besides being characterised by different variants of the Walser language, also show different surname sets and limited surname sharing (Fig. 3). This suggests that the Walser of the upper Lys Valley are the result of two independent late medieval migration events, each characterised by a different dialect and thus sets of surnames. The two Walser migrations settled, respectively, in Issime and Gressoney. The newborn communities literally encircled the pre-existing Romance village of Gaby (but conserved the original Romance toponyms that still characterise the whole valley). These events led to the rise of a linguistic and – as shown here – a ‘reverse’ genetic barrier that lasted to the 20th century.

In summary, the results of our biodemographic analysis have allowed us to make reliable inferences on the population structure of the upper Lys Valley. As such, they can be considered a useful preliminary step for molecular anthropology studies. Researchers agree that knowledge of the “underlying population structure” (Colonna et al., 2007) is extremely useful for sampling strategies in population-based association studies and in phylogenetic studies (Shlush et al., 2008; Helgason et al., 2005). The most widely

Tab. 2 - Self-Organizing Map of the 95 most frequent surnames. The number of surnames per cell and their frequencies in each community are reported.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CELL</th>
<th>(1, 1)</th>
<th>(1, 2)</th>
<th>(1, 3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N. Surnames</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gressoney-SJ</td>
<td>0.83%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gressoney-LT</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32.62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issime</td>
<td>0.51%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaby</td>
<td>58.08%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CELL</th>
<th>(2, 1)</th>
<th>(2, 2)</th>
<th>(2, 3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N. Surnames</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gressoney-SJ</td>
<td>1.30%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>21.56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gressoney-LT</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>59.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issime</td>
<td>23.88%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaby</td>
<td>40.34%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CELL</th>
<th>(3, 1)</th>
<th>(3, 2)</th>
<th>(3, 3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N. Surnames</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gressoney-SJ</td>
<td>0.12%</td>
<td>2.01%</td>
<td>72.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gressoney-LT</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>1.07%</td>
<td>6.95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issime</td>
<td>70.37%</td>
<td>5.24%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaby</td>
<td>0.11%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>1.47%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
used sampling method is the so-called “grandfather criterion”, in which individuals who share grandparents born in the study area are sampled (all four, if the targets are autosomes; the paternal grandfather or the maternal grandmother if the target is Y-chromosome or mtDNA). In this way, it is possible to quickly exclude the recent immigrants, but it is not possible to identify immigrants beyond the second generation and, above all, to make reliable inferences concerning the genetic structure of the population. To overcome these problems, extensive reconstructions of genealogies, with the identification of patrilineal and matrilineal genealogical lines, have been proposed and successfully used for some Italian villages. For example, in Talana (Sardinia), researchers were able to establish that more than 80% of the present-day population descends from eight paternal and eleven maternal ancestral lineages (Angius et al., 2001), while in Campora (southern Italy) 69% of the living individuals belong to 46 and 70 maternal and paternal lineages whose presence in the village predates 1890 (Colonna et al., 2007). Surname analysis, particularly as performed with the SOM method, can be of invaluable help. Given the shared patrilineal transmission of surnames and the Y-chromosome, the method is particularly suited for sampling in Y-chromosome DNA studies. In our case, SOM cells detected five main groups of surnames that can be considered founders of each of the studied villages, namely: 5 for GLT (cell 1, 3), 30 for GSJ (cell 3, 3), 16 for Issime (cell 3, 1), 8 for the Romance Gaby (cell 1, 1) and 5 for its Walser minor settlements (cell 2, 1). An ideal upper Lys Valley sample for Y-chromosome studies should be based on such surname groups, with two important precautions: a) the number of sampled individuals per community should be proportional to the number of ‘founder’ surnames; b) avoid the over-representation of some surnames. The surname-based sampling strategy allows one to maximize the number of different Y-chromosome lineages for a given sample size and to get as near as possible to the period in which surnames spread, excluding recent immigrants by default. It should be noted that the proposed method is not designed to detect the current allele frequencies. In this case, as in population-based association studies, random sampling (and a higher sample size) inside each unit of analysis seems more useful.

Analyses based on molecular markers are needed to extend our study beyond the geographic limits of the upper Lys Valley and the temporal depth covered by surnames (4-5 centuries ago). In particular, research on German-speaking ethnic minorities of northern Italy should take into consideration both biodemographic results and molecular analyses in order to unravel their genetic history and to assess similarities and differences in their population structure.

In conclusion, the case of the Walser demonstrates that a population may well conserve its cultural and linguistic features while losing much of its biological ancestry. The Walser, due to their activities as merchants, were a markedly mobile population that, for centuries, maintained a large network of contacts on both sides of the Alps and favoured marital exchange with other populations. As a consequence, they were not as isolated as their ethnic minority status would suggest. Indeed, the linguistic barrier between the Walser and their Romance neighbours was not completely lacking in biological effects on the population: it managed to isolate the autochthonous village of Gaby. Further investigations based on molecular markers (in particular the Y-chromosome) are needed to unravel the genetic history of German-speaking communities of the Italian Alps.
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